WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

1	INITED	STATES	OF AM	IERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Raymundo Rodriguez-Hernandez		Case Number: 10-3541M	
present and w	vas represented by counsel. I conclude bention of the defendant pending trial in th	42(f), a detention hearing was held on December 20, 2010. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and scase. FINDINGS OF FACT	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the L	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
		ices removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customathe included the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported	
	The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	int but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha	
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	f years imprisonment.	

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>December 20, 2010</u>

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge